


Evaluation: Scientific computing in R, 27 May 2020



2. Training event content and feedback to lecturers (e.g. topic, materials, exercises, structure):

– What did you like best?

- Good hands on practice.
- Structure
- materials
- The arrangement of the contents into a mix of lectures and hands on work.
- The first half of the day
- Clear exercises and presentation slides.
- The flow of training and the contents
- The lectures
- Learning how to submit our tasks to the server
- The general organization was well done
- The organisation was good, but some unexpected power outages made it difficult. I seemed that some people had technical difficulties, and I don't know if this was due to the bar being set too high, or that the prerequisites of the course were not clear enough.
- Almost everything was adequate

3. Training event content and feedback to lecturers (e.g. topic, materials, exercises, structure):

– Where should we improve?

- Be more lucky to not have a power outage.
- less breaks - more motivated speakers
- The tempo in the afternoon was a bit high for me, I had difficulties following exactly how to run jobs on Kebnekaise.
- more time for exercise
- More focus on the actual use of the HPC system.
- I was thinking that a higher interaction during the breakout rooms could be possible

- I realise that it is difficult, but it felt like the difficulty level was varying quite a bit where seemingly more complex topics were glossed over while going into depth on more basic topics. This is of course very individual.
- The time for exercises could have been longer
- More hands on with hpc2n. submitting jobs. using multiple nodes and troubleshooting errors.


4. Which future training topics would you like to be provided by PRACE or the training host?

- R (or/and Python) courses for a bargainer
- reading and writing data from files on hpc2n
- How to install a new software in the server.


5. Training event organisation (e.g. announcement, registration, venue, catering):
What did you like best? – Where should we improve?

- concentrating and organizing the information.
- more basic R knowledge
- registration
- no idea
- the Power failue caused some issues, but that is not your fault.
- annocement, face to face teaching is better
- There is no homogeneous level within the participants. People not familiar with Linux or being within the IT area can find a bit difficult to follow the course. Information is sparse.
- The info/instructions leading up to the coarse was excellent.


6. Would you recommend this training event to others?




7. Subject of course for me was




8. I was inspired to new ways of thinking




9. Length of course was




10. Depth of content was



11. The pace of teaching was



12. Teaching aids used (e.g. slides) were well prepared



13. Hands-on exercises and demonstrations were

